## 10/790,082 YOSHIDA, TAKEO Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner 2136 Oscar A. Louie All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Kipman Werking. (1) Oscar A. Louie. (2) Nasser Moazzami. (4)Daniel Tucker. PT Date of Interview: 08 January 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Independent Claims 1,3,5-7,9-13. Identification of prior art discussed: Zhang et al. (US-6253327-B1) & Leveridge et al. (US-7233997-B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Application No.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representatives discussed the aspects of the 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejections, the 35 U.S.C. 102b rejection, and the 35 U.S.C. 103a rejections.

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

1/8/08